

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,087	04/10/2006	Norbert Mencke	LEA 36544	4064
71285 7590 11/14/2008 BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC			EXAMINER	
P.O.BOX 390			SULLIVAN, DANIELLE D	
SHAWNEE MISSION, KS 66201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/541,087	MENCKE ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
DANIELLE SULLIVAN	1616			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period fo	r Reply
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(s) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isolate of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.36(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timility filled SK (5) for the provided of the communication of th
Status	
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 June 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
ا ال	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	on of Claims
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	on Papers
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b Some * o None of:
,	ree the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachmen	t(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: __

 $Continuation \ of \ Attachment(s)\ 3).\ Information \ Disclosure \ Statement(s)\ (PTO/SB/08),\ Paper\ No(s)/Mail\ Date \ :6/29/2005,\ 4/10/2006,\ 9/11/2008.$

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6 are pending examination

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Method of Repelling Arthropods.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Snyder (US 6,063,771).

Snyder discloses formulations comprising spinosyn (abstract). The composition is used to control lice in humans (column 2, lines 27-43). Natural pyrethrins are also taught to be used for the control of lice (column 1, lines 60-67). Spinosyn and natural pyrethins may be combined and formulated as shampoos, lotions or conditioners (column 4, lines 5-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/541,087 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snyder (US 6,063,771) in view of Knauf et al. (2002/0061928).

Applicant's Invention

Applicant claims the method controlling arthropods as discussed above. The specific arthropods are selected from ticks, fleas, midges or flies.

Determination of the scope and the content of the prior art

(MPEP 2141.01)

The teachings of Snyder are addressed in above 102(b) rejection.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP 2141.02)

MPEP 2141.02

Snyder does not teach ticks, fleas, midges or flies. It is for this reason that Knauf et al. is joined.

Knauf et al. teach that pyrethroids are used with chloronicotinyl insecticides for controlling sucking pests, such as, thrips, ticks and lice [0002] and [0011].

Finding of prima facie obviousness

Rationale and Motivation (MPEP 2142-2143)

Art Unit: 1616

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Snyder and Knauf et al. to utilize the method of controlling ticks, thrips and other sucking pests. One would have been motivated to utilize this method because Knauf et al. teaches that pyrethroids in combination with nicotinyl insecticides are known to control sucking pests.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danielle Sullivan whose telephone number is (571) 270-3285. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM Mon-Thur EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,087 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Danielle Sullivan Patent Examiner Art Unit 1616

/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616